

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/695,277	10/28/2003	Yong Ho Son	SEDN/152CON2	3963	
56015 WALL & TO!	7590 01/09/2009 NG LLP/	EXAM	EXAMINER		
SEDNA PATENT SERVICES, LLC			SAINT CYR, JEAN D		
595 SHREWS SUITE 100	BURY AVENUE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
SHREWSBUF	RY, NJ 07702	2425			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/09/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

T	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/695,277	SON ET AL.		
Ì	Examiner	Art Unit		
l	JEAN D. SAINT CYR	2425		

	JEAN D. SAINT CYR	2425					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 05 January 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
<ol> <li>Sign reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following in application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:</li> </ol>	the same day as filing a Notice of a eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance FR 1.114. The reply must be filed	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ar no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I	iter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.				
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of		36(a) and the appropriat	a extension fee				
Detersions of time in any be document on united of Orthogon, The development of the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the second se	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
AMENDMENTS							
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be     (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor     (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	sideration and/or search (see NOT v);	E below);					
<ul> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or</li> </ul>	er form for appeal by materially rec	lucing or simplifying t	ne issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).							
<ol> <li>The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12</li> </ol>		mpliant Amendment (l	PTOL-324).				
<ol><li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li></ol>							
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>		•					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [ how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		be entered and an e	xplanation of				
Claim(s) allowed: <i>None</i> . Claim(s) objected to: <i>None</i> .							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-11</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	and/or appellant faile e 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>	of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.				
The request for reconsideration has been considered but See continuation sheet.	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:				
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
(Drive T. Decelleton)							
/Brian T. Pendleton/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2425							

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Section 11: Applicant argues that Kupka et al did not storing a partially encrypted program in server and causing transmission of a fully encrypted video program to the requesting subscriber.

However, Kupka et al disclose if the digital content to be download is stored on the server 16 in an encrypted format (pre-encrypted) prior to downloading then the server would need only encrypt the data key to the content (i.e., the software application, music or video) and pre-encryption may be preferable to provide greater performance in environments where large amounts of data need to be encrypted per transaction. However, it may be preferable to double encrypt the downloaded content at step 308 by encrypting the pre-encrypted content and the data key to the pre-encrypted content using the unique serial identifier or compound key (and any additional information) as an encryption key. Such a technique would greatly increase the security of the data to be transmitted, as the data may be double encrypted prior to transmission to the client.

And Kupka et all disclose multiple encryption method where the key to the protected electronic data itself is encrypted using the serial number of the disk as the key.

Hence, applicant's arguments are not persuasive. The finality of the last office action is proper, meets all claims limitation and maintained.